

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,991	10/634,991 08/06/2003		James G. McErlean	103864.140US1	103864.140US1 7452	
24395	7590	05/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HALE & D	ORR LL	P	DESAI, F	DESAI, HEMANT		
THE WILL	ARD OFF	ICE BUILDING				
1455 PENN	SYLVAN	IA AVE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING		•	3721			

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
:		10/634,991	MCERLEAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hemant M Desai	3721					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> .							
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44) 🗆 :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment		4) The same state of the same	DTO 412)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	te					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)					
raper	No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17, 20-37, 41-44, 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brahier et al. (6688346) in view of Absher (5298104).

Brahier et al. disclose a system and methods that places a label (print) on a bag, comprising a first plurality of rollers (53, 54 66, 68 fig. 2) contacting the bag film (16, fig. 2) comprising a plurality of bags, each of the bags delimited by a perforation (see col. 6, lines 28-30), at least one (66, fig. 2) of the plurality of rollers being driven to convey the bag film (16), a printer (40, fig. 2) for printing a plurality of labels.

Brahier et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the limitations except that plurality of printed labels disposed on a backing material and mechanism that removes the printed label from the backing material and place the printed label on the bag. Absher teaches a plurality of printed labels (40, fig. 3) disposed on a backing material (44, fig. 3) and mechanism (46, fig. 3) that removes the printed label from the backing material and place the printed label on the bag (10, fig. 3) to provide an inexpensive and efficient way to provide the bags with removable labels or coupons (see col. 2, lines 15-20). Therefore, Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided plurality of printed labels disposed

Application/Control Number: 10/634,991

Art Unit: 3721

on a backing material and mechanism that removes the printed label from the backing material and place the printed label on the bag as taught by Absher in the system and method of Brahier et al. to provide an inexpensive and efficient way to provide the bags with removable labels or coupons.

Regarding claims 2, Absher teaches a sensor (53, fig. 4) for determining the position of the bag to place the label (40) on the bag responsive to the position determined by the sensor (see col. 4, lines 46-65). Therefore it would have been obvious to provide a sensor as taught by Absher in the modified system and method of Brahier et al. to for determining the position of the bag to place the label.

Regarding claims 3, 21 and 41, Brahier et al. discloses a bag opening mechanism (42, fig. 2) to open the bag subsequent to labeling and a seal bar to seal the bag (see col. 5, lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 4 and 22, Brahier et al. discloses a control unit (and therefore use of sensor is an inherent feature) that controls loading of the product into each individual bag (see col. 6, lines 40-45).

Regarding claims 5 –6, 23-24 and 42, Brahier et al. discloses a sensor for detecting a position of the perforation to convey the bag a predetermined amount to the seal bar assembly (see col. 6, lines 58-65).

Regarding claims 8 and 26, Brahier et al. discloses that the bag is separated from the continuous strip along the perforation (see col. 6, lines 58-59).

Regarding claims 9, 27 and 46, Absher teaches roller (46, fig. 3) to separate the label (40, fig. 3, see col. 4, lines 28-30) from the backing material (44, fig. 3). Therefore

Application/Control Number: 10/634,991

Art Unit: 3721

it would have been obvious to provide the roller as taught by Absher in the system and method of Brahier et al. to separate the label from the backing material.

Regarding claims 10-11, 28-29 and 47-48, Absher teaches a vacuum tamp to facilitate maintaining the label on the surface prior to placing the label on the bag (see col. 33-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the vacuum tamp as taught by Absher in the system and method of Brahier et al. to facilitate maintaining the label on the surface prior to placing the label on the bag.

Regarding claims 12-15 and 30-34, Absher teaches plurality of rollers (46, fig. 3), which are moving dynamically and labels (40) are dispensed from the label roll (42, fig. 3) to dispense the labels to the bag. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the plurality of rollers, which are moving dynamically and labels are dispensed from the label roll as taught by Absher in the system and method of Brahier et al. to dispense the labels to the bag.

Regarding claims 17 and 36, Brahier et al. disclose a drive roller (66, fig. 2) therefore a motor is an inherent feature to drive the roller (66).

Regarding claims 18-19 and 43, Brahier et al. disclose a control unit that synchronize the loading of the product into each individual bag (see col. 2, lines 7-15; col. 4, lines 38-45).

Regarding claims 20, 37, 50-51, the modified system and method of Brahier et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the claimed limitations.

Regarding claim 35, Brahier et al. disclose a dancer assembly (53, 54, fig. 2) comprises a plurality of rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,991

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 37, the modified system and method of Brahier et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 18,19, 38-40 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brahier et al. (6688346) and Absher (5298104) as applied to claims 1 and 37 above, and further in view of Lasher et al. (5771657).

The modified system and method of Brahier et al., as mentioned above, disclose all the claimed limitations of claim 1 and 37, except for placing literature pack and a robotic mechanism to place the container in the bag.

However, Lasher et al. teaches to insert a literature pack corresponding to each patient order (see col. 2, lines 46-55) in the bag and a robotic mechanism (79, fig. 7) to load the pharmaceutical bottles according to the prescription (see col. 9, lines 35-38). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the literature pack corresponding to each patient order and a robotic mechanism to load the pharmaceutical bottles according to the prescription as taught by Lasher et al. in the modified system and method of Brahier et al. to places a label on a bag and for filling a plurality of prescription orders.

Regarding claim 38, Lasher et al. teach to discard a bag because of any malfunction (see col. 9, lines 39-44). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to having provided the step of discarding the bag as taught by Lasher et al. in the modified system and method of Brahier et al. to discard the defective or unfilled bag.

Regarding claim 40, Brahier et al. disclose a seal bar to seal the bag (see col. 5, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 45, Brahier et al. discloses that the bag is separated from the continuous strip along the perforation (see col. 6, lines 58-59).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M Desai whose telephone number is (703) 308-5830. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5: 30 PM, Mon-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemont M. Josani.